

E-mail Q&A

A reader suggested that I publish some of my responses to emailed questions. That's a good idea since many of these questions are general enough to be of wider interest.

» I have been doing a TON of research lately, and I came across your site. But there is just SO much to read through and to think about! I don't know where to begin!

What I do is start with what I can't deny based on personal experience, and what others I have no reason to doubt have experienced. This gives a base of "givens" that narrow down what's really going on. For instance, the idea that people have had past lives, that alone disproves the skeptic scientific idea that we're just walking bags of meat without a soul.

Now, I have had the benefit of some strange experiences in life. Alien abductions as a kid. Ghost sightings. Synchronicities. Prophetic dreams. Ear ringings that correlate with impending emotionally distressing events in my life. And then I have friends, or trusted acquaintances, who have had even weirder experiences. These have given me a big set of "givens" from which I've been forced to come up with best-fit theories to account for them. And thus the theories you see on my site. They are the minimum I need, to account for what I cannot deny.

Getting overwhelmed, confused, lost, or depressed can be a problem. That's why I have a blank notebook which I periodically use to sketch out what I know, what I don't know, what questions I have, what unresolved mysteries there are, and so on. Trying to hack away at the mystery. It's this taking time to contemplate and work things out that give me some confidence to at least pick a direction and follow it, test it out. Way better than never digesting anything and just throwing my hands up in the air, and getting paralyzed not knowing what to believe. I think believing something confidently is necessary, as long as you are willing to instantly change your belief as soon as something better comes along, or some flaw comes to your attention.

» How can I talk to people close to me about "fringe knowledge"?

Most don't even have a frame of reference. I mean, how many have even heard of astral projection or remember having weird dreams as a child? So this fringe knowledge stuff is so foreign to them, it's like telling them about the electrical system of a water treatment plant. But if I had to do it, I would frame these ideas as what if questions, or "isn't it weird that...." (name some fact or trivia that's a bit odd) and then launch into some speculation.

As long as you don't come across as preaching, angry, or serious, but rather as being curious yourself and also engaging their curiosity, then people tend to be more open. Like if you seem genuinely perplexed and innocent at having come across some mystery or data that contradicts your old reality (their reality) then it's more like sharing with them a mystery instead of thumping them on the head.

Kids like this approach, as they like to wonder about fantastic possibilities. Older people might go the route of "don't think such silly things" or else play the devil's advocate just to get a rise out of you. See, people can watch science fiction movies because it's fiction. Once it becomes reality for them, then it's scary, but until then they can go along with it. So even if people lack a frame of reference, maybe they can think speculatively. Then at least the concepts penetrate their mind, and should one day proof come their way that it's all real, boom they already have pre-thought the possibilities.

» Do you have any tips or info how to pray or pray the right way?

The key is to evoke the emotion of the very thing you're praying for. So if you are praying for protection, try to evoke the feeling of safety and comfort that comes with having protection as though you're relieved your prayer is being fulfilled, that it's a done deal. This, instead of say, feeling overwhelmed with terror and only feeling that while begging for

protection, which only resonates you with the probable future of victimhood and terror.

Try to adopt an earnest, sincere, bottom-of-your-heart attitude, but not with a pissy or commanding tone to it as higher positive beings don't obey ego or rudeness.

The most effective prayer is done when you aren't fully aware of your surroundings, but totally absorbed and committed to the thing you're praying about. It has to be consciously done, intended, and not just mindlessly recited like some catholic rosary bead prayer thing.

Also be careful what you pray for, since if it comes from ego and base desire, it will open the door for negative or demonic beings to fulfill it, but at the cost of you losing some of your freedom and your regret when it comes true and is more than you bargained for.

To connect with the right source via prayer, you have to raise your vibes, raise your mood, try to work up some optimism, gratitude, love or whatever is positive, since that brings you vibrationally closer to those higher positive forces (and makes your consciousness more potent as a reality-bending force field).

Prayers don't have to be long, they don't have to be ritualistic with repetition or symbols or burning of herbs or anything, just close your eyes and think of the highest spiritual power you can, and address it. The end with a thanks, preferably a "thanks for hearing my prayer" or "thanks for fulfilling my request" (saying it with conviction and relief and certainty, not doubt, since doubt means you are sending mixed signals).

Lastly, might be good idea to add in, "for the highest spiritual good of myself and all involved" if praying for a request.

» I was wondering if you could give me anymore information on the dangers of transcendental meditation?

Alright, there are different kinds of meditation, some that heighten your awareness by extending your conscious awareness into new areas, and ones that handicap your conscious awareness by obliterating it through submerging into lowered areas. The TM technique is the latter type. It involves prolonged periods of chanting mantras given to you by a trained instructor.

The problem is that it ends up being a form of self-hypnosis where you get entranced by the repetition of the mantra sound and thereby enter a reduced-awareness, submerged, highly suggestible state of mind. It's said to be successful if you "get so deep that when you come out of it you don't know where you've been for the past couple hours" -- that's very bad. It shows an obliteration of awareness, like a black out.

I tried this for a while back in high school. It damaged my ability to stay focused and sharp, so like when giving speeches or talking to people I'd sometimes slip into this blanked-out state of mind where I just stop thinking, and so can't continue to talk. For more on problems with the TM technique, take a look at [this site](#).

Real meditation has the opposite effect — you stay aware and become sharper, maintaining continuity of consciousness more so than ever before, rather than blacking out or becoming hypnotically suggestible. For example, becoming very good at inner visualization, of staying lucid instead of getting swayed by random thoughts, and maintaining awareness while falling asleep for instance. Rudolf Steiner wrote about that kind of meditation, see [How to Know Higher Worlds](#), [Outline of Occult Science](#), and [Gates of Knowledge](#).

» You say that positive and negative are absolute defined by STO, and STS. It doesn't make sense to say that these are absolute. I think that good and bad are important, but they are always dependent on your moral perspective, just as your perspective is they can be defined by STO and STS. Why don't you see this as value based judgement?

Great question... well, "value-based" assumes subjective, like comparing a given action to some subjective standard like religious morals or personal preferences. That's not the case with STS and STO because these respectively mean

imbalancing or balancing with respect to the whole system of life both local and universal.

STO is that which maximizes freewill for the entire system, and STS is that which minimizes freewill by concentrating it in the hands of a few via the violation or subjugation of freewill of the rest.

So it's no different from saying "self-sustaining" versus "destructive" economy, for instance. It's objective because the results are plain to see.

And yes, when this principle of balance and maximization of freewill is applied in the human sphere, it does result in guidelines that have many points in common with morals and ethics, but that doesn't mean STO itself is strictly subjective like morals and ethics.

As for the importance of maximizing freewill, I think that's a fundamental truth of a reality in which there are individualized conscious beings with freewill, where freewill is the necessary ingredient for those beings to be conscious and grow in their consciousness. If freewill weren't important, then we wouldn't even be here discussing it.

» How important do you think Obama is to the alien agenda (that is, the various agendas of different factions)?

Well, the fact is that Obama is multi-ethnic, very charming, good looking for a president, speaks of hope and change, has a "lead his people to the promised land" aura about him, and is very good at oratory to the point of making people like him even though they don't know why or what exactly he stands for on various issues.

So, you can imagine that he would be a good asset for an alien disinformation agenda. Let's say aliens show up and someone has to meet with them, someone representing humanity. Among the various leaders of the world who would do this, Obama would be very fitting. Not a crusty old white guy, but a politically correct, idealistic, multi-cultural, progressive kind of guy. I can't think of a better person. Imagine the kind of speech he could give in front of billions, especially a speech laden with the kind of misconceptions that I detailed in Part 4 of my Discerning Alien Disinfo article. It would be quite convincing I'd think.

But more immediately, if you actually look at the changes he's spearheading, you'll see some concerning elements. The first is an expansion of the mid-east war into Afghanistan, and later by proxy Pakistan and everything that comes from that. The second is the expansion of the police state apparatus to the local level. The Bush administration kicked the police state into gear from the top down, via TSA, Homeland Security, and all that good stuff. Next phase is to install it from the bottom up.

Right now it's in the early stages with the plan for various kinds of Corps, volunteer brigades, or compulsory national service. Obama himself said we need a civilian national security force that is bigger than the military. While in the beginning it may appear to be a nice volunteerism thing like helping out your local area, planting gardens, helping poor people, etc... it is VERY easy to absorb into a top-down command structure, like say upgrading it toward the purpose of "keeping your community safe from terrorists" via surveillance, snitch networks, Hitler-Youth-like groups — all under the banner of hope, change, progress.

And that's where it's going. Only through society being thoroughly locked down at the national and local level, through a well-equipped police state apparatus, can society be kept together through any kind of alien disclosure event. It's a dual-edged sword, because on the one hand we do need more volunteerism and social cohesion to help each other out through the coming earth cataclysms (solar disruptions, food disruptions, weather disasters, etc...) but on the other hand if it is controlled from the top down then it can and will become an instrument of oppression as it did in Nazi Germany and Soviet Russia.

You see, Obama's political platform is very similar to the kind of platform that alien deceivers would be running on, a messianic platform of change and progress. Therefore it is the perfect lead-in to that kind of deception. People are getting

used to having a leader that is different from all previous leaders. Thus I see his role during these times as an usher into the alien deception phase, if it ever gets off the ground. Obama is guaranteed at least eight years in office. So that's until 2017 at the earliest, which covers the time period when lots of these end times events are predicted to occur.

Lastly, there is a possibility that a civil war might break out in the U.S. and that Obama could play the role of a modern day Abraham Lincoln, in another federal vs states rights conflict. If that happens, it would be another problem-reaction-solution move toward global government, necessary to global overt alien control.

»What do you mean when you say time is not linear?

By linear time I mean the perception that we move from the past into the future in a straight line, like dominoes knocking over dominoes, one after the other, on and on and on. So there's a sequence that moves in one direction. Future becomes present, present becomes past. We think we can't change the past or visit it. Time flows at a constant rate. That's linear time. Linear because it's like a line from past to future.

Non-linear time is like this. Imagine if you could slow down time. Or time travel to the past. Or position yourself above the line and view the past, present, and future simultaneously. And not only that, but it isn't just one line, but a whole web of lines interconnected and branching, with different pasts leading to different presents and different futures. Where the past can be changed. Where there are different alternate presents. You can choose which alternate present (which alternate timeline) to enter into, you can see them all simultaneously, and the future and past are not any more different to you than, say, the left end and right end of a table which both exist simultaneously and whichever end you look at is the end you see.

I'll make an analogy. In a novel, a book, the story usually flows from past to future. The characters in the book progress along a set sequence of events, along what to them seems like linear time. But you, the reader, can hold the whole book in your hand, containing the past and the future simultaneously. You can flip forward, flip to earlier pages, and the characters don't even know you're doing it. Now if you were the author, you could change the story too, change it earlier and modify the story from that point on into the the story's future. You are living the story via non-linear time, whereas the characters are living it in linear time. When you change their past, their "memory" changes and they don't realize their story has been altered. But you do, because you're outside of their story.

So we humans live according to linear time. There are aliens and higher nonphysical beings who live according to non-linear time. They can time travel, make little changes to our history and view our future (well, our possible futures, not necessarily the one we will surely take, since we still have freewill and the future is therefore open). So with the book analogy, it's more like a choose-your-own-adventure book, where you can flip through it and see the alternate endings but don't know which one a particular reader will take. But for the reader following along with the story, they'll follow it according to the linear time that moves forward in the story, whereas when you just flip through the book willy nilly the story moves more with non-linear time for you since you're viewing all possibilities in any order and at any speed of your choosing.

» What are your thoughts on 2012?

I think it will be a soft transition to a new world, not a sudden blink of the eye and waking up in a utopia. I think we've got a lot of work to do in the next 20 years. The decade from 2010 to 2020 will be the toughie to make it through. It will seem like its own lifetime. Life in 2020 will be so different from 2009. How, I'm not sure but I envision it being like a forest fire where the old has burned up and new plants are rising from the ashes. 2010-2013 = forest fire. Right now it's starting to smolder. We'll see lots of catalysts forcing us to choose sides, catalysts from the smallest to the biggest. Personal choices about whom we want to associated with, what we will stand for, and eventually, what probable future we bring into manifestation. There will be pockets of self-made utopias (small communities in rural areas) and pockets of degenerated hells (remnants of old urban centers that succumbed to disintegration). And then there's the whole alien savior issue to deal with, which I wrote about in my recent six part article. Change, lots of it, false hope and real hope.

» If aliens can operate in the future can't they see their loss or victory? Or because of humans freewill is the future never certain?

Freewill splits the timeline into branches of varying probability. The more probable, the easier they can see it. When you become aware and use your freewill to act accordingly, that creates a very improbable set of futures because you are acting intelligently. When you're spacing out, on autopilot, acting mechanically, then your future doesn't branch much and you're as predictable as a falling rock. So when they view you, they have one idea of how things will go, but there's always the chance that you'll act differently than how you would have originally, and that complicates things for them.

Therefore they are not always certain of their victory. Or to be more accurate, they are confronted only with your probable futures that are most in line with their own, meaning they tend to see success most clearly. But then they end up dumbfounded when you do something they didn't expect (took the .001% probable future instead of the 80% probable future). I should mention that the lower the probability of the future you try to take, the harder it is for you to take it — meaning, the more awareness, willpower, conscious effort, and synchronicity is involved to get you there. If you don't try at all and just let yourself be led along, then of course you'll always take the 80% or higher probable future.

That's the reason aliens even try to do anything with the timeline. If all was certain, they wouldn't have to do anything, just let it come to pass. And yet the timeline is constantly in flux, shifting, rearranging like train tracks switching everywhere all the time. This makes their job (the aliens) very complicated, but they're smart enough to actually achieve decent success. It's just that when someone becomes aware, that they become an anomaly who can really throw a wrench in their works.

» I'd like to understand "vibrations" from a more technical/scientific point of view, and was wondering if you've run across anyone that tries to explain them in those terms.

I'm mainly familiar with it through:

- 1) **Bringers of the Dawn** by Barbara Marciniak
- 2) **Ra Material** by L/L Research
- 3) The **Cassiopean Transcripts** (where it is called FRV or Frequency Resonance Vibration)
- 4) **The 33 Arks** by the Nexus Seven (called resonance)

The spiritual circles use these terms qualitatively, like "low vibe" means crude and negative, while "high vibe" means spiritually evolved and positive. There is no official scientific or technical literature on what exactly that is.

But based on my physics background, I have made an educated guess into the technical aspect of this. It is complicated, but I will write it out so that you can get an idea of how "soul vibes" might be explained from a physics perspective.

Seems to me that consciousness itself vibrates, like a speaker cone vibrating to produce sound. Instead of air, it is the fabric of the hyper-dimensional multiverse that carries these vibrations. Part of that multiverse is the space-time continuum of our own universe. Consciousness causes our own space-time fabric to vibrate according to its own unique spectrum of frequencies, a spectrum ultimately dictated by its own thoughts, emotions, intent, and level of spiritual development.

These vibrations, if they were to be measured by physicists, would have to be termed gravitational waves, temporal waves, quantum potential waves, scalar electromagnetic waves, or force-free electromagnetic potential waves. All generally the same thing. But they are not conventional electromagnetic waves, otherwise they would have been easily detected already.

Well, what these oscillations do, is they affect the way probable futures collapse into a single manifested present experience. This is quantum physics. In quantum physics, this collapse of the future into the present is thought to occur purely by chance. But we know from experience that our own thoughts, emotions, and intent can affect the way things go by shaping probability, attracting synchronicity, and so on. Well, that is because consciousness affects things at the quantum level.

A consciousness in the present is faced with different probable future selves, each of which carries a slightly different vibrational spectrum due to it having been altered by going through the unique experience offered by that particular probable future. The probable future self that most closely matches the spectrum of the present self acquires the greatest level of quantum phase-locking, the one most likely to collapse into the present, the one with whom there is the greatest mutual energy exchange via a temporal feedback loop, and the one most strongly attracted. And so in the end, you attract that with which you resonate.

» Do you know if there is there any explanation from a scientific/quantum perspective for channeling or intuitive communication with other realities?

That gets into superluminal / non-local communication.

The communication we are used to (phone, light, voice) all travels at the speed of light or slower. It all travels within a channel contained inside this physical universe, this timeline, this reality. That kind of communication is simple, and both sender and receiver are fully tangible to each other because they are both in the same reality.

Now, when you send a signal faster than light, or through time, or across dimensions, the sender and receiver are no longer in exactly the same universe.

Let's say I were near the star Sirius, and you on earth, and I sent you a superluminal (faster than light) message that got to you in less than a second. Normal light would take years. But what happens is, the message leaves the physical universe, takes a shortcut through hyperspace, and re-enters at your location without taking the time to cross the space in between.

The problem is this: when the message enters back into the physical universe at your location, WHICH physical universe is it? The one where you hear the message and say yes to my question that I asked in it, or the one where you say no, or the one where you dropped the walkie talkie and didn't get the message at all? Same goes when you respond back — which universe, which version of me, will ultimately reply back to you?

So anytime you use communication that goes outside the physical universe, outside space-time, you really end up having two beings communicating with probable versions of each other, in accordance with which of those versions they are each tuned into. Thus if you are irritated and send a snippy message back to me, maybe you'll hear back only from the version of me that is equally irritated and snippy. Both those probable versions of ourselves are resonant / tuned to each other.

And so when you're channeling — whether with beings from the future, beings from outside of time, beings from another dimension — the message goes through hyperspace, through the void between different reality bubbles. And that makes for shifting between sender and receiver according which aspect of each the other is tuned into. This is true for channeling, and also for faster-than-light communication.

This is why some channelers, after they become ego-driven and go down a dark path, may still continue channeling what seems like the same source, but anyone observant can tell the old source has left and a new negative impostor source has taken its place. The communication conduit has shifted alignment due to shift in the attunement of the channeler.

Now, science will say information cannot be sent faster than the speed of light. But that is false, since they are only assuming the straight-shooting, mundane, normal, tangible kind of communication that takes place within one physical

universe, within the same reality bubble. When it's BETWEEN such bubbles, all bets are off.

It does have to do with quantum physics, and I could explain that too, but it gets abstract and complicated and hard to explain without pointing at an equation. But if I had to summarize, it would go like this: everything within a reality bubble, within the same universe, shares the same "quantum phase" — meaning, the same position along its own spectrum of probable selves. Everything is synchronized. To lock phase with something means to share mutual tangible reality with it. Well, breaking the speed of light means breaking the chains of that locking, ungluing it, unbinding it, and that loosens up how sender and receiver interact with each other, as mentioned above.

» Would you define singularity as different from "hyperspace" and if so, how would you define hyperspace?

Well, in Relativity, there are equations for how time slows, how space distorts, as space-time is contorted due to gravity or velocity. Well, when space shrinks to zero, and time slows to zero, that is the singularity. It's right up on the edge of the bubble of reality as we know it. This condition also occurs at the event horizon of a black hole. Now, interesting things happen when you take the equations even further. Then what happens is that space and time get multiplied by an imaginary number.

Are you familiar with imaginary numbers? The basic imaginary number is the square root of minus one, which sounds like a mathematical absurdity since usually no number times itself can equal a negative number — minus two times minus two equals four, not minus four. So the letter "i" was denoted to be this mysterious number that, times itself, can indeed equal a negative result. And for some reason, reality itself works according to processes that involve this "i" number. Although there is no such thing as an "imaginary" number of apples, for instance..... so science doesn't know what exactly this "i" corresponds to in reality. Maybe it's because "i" has to do with stuff outside reality, thus it being called "imaginary".

Well, in Relativity, in order to treat time as a physical dimension, you have to do the following: whereas space can be denoted by x, y, and z, time itself has to become $i*c*t$ where c is the speed of light. This means that relative to space, time has to be multiplied by an imaginary number to be treated on an equal footing. So in Relativity we have three real coordinates for space, and one imaginary coordinate for time. That's if you want everything to work out correctly in true 4-dimensional spacetime coordinates.

Okay, so what happens when you pass through the singularity? The coordinates all get multiplied by i (or -i to be more exact). Thus the coordinates can become: $i*x$, $i*y$, $i*z$, $c*t$ — meaning, space has become imaginary, and time has become real. This is hyperspace. Another name for it would be timespace instead of spacetime. You could think of it as a 90 degree rotation through the fifth dimension.

In this state, moving back and forth through time is as easy as it is for us to walk back and forth along a straight line. So that is hyperspace to me, just an inversion of spacetime into timespace. You reach this anytime you go through a black hole or exceed the speed of light. The boundary between spacetime and timespace is a portal, the singularity. As for the imaginary coordinates of space, I'm not sure what that entails, but I suspect it means that in timespace, you find yourself in a network of tunnels (tunnel = only can move forward and back) where different tunnels represent different timelines, parallel universes, and so on. Just a guess.

» In your article on realm dynamics im a little confused about how dissonance and perception work. If gaps in an individuals frequency spectrum are what create those learning experiences (empty parts of their frequency spectrum), then the same metaphor cannot be used to explain what doesn't enter that individuals perception. Is there another way of clarifying how this works, the difference between what is perceived, and what new experiences are being learned in the metaphor of the frequency spectrum?

Good question. Since our perception is normally limited to the five senses, we can only see the physical. What we call physical matter is at the lowest vibration. I don't know if it is a complete flatline, or something very close to it with an ultra-low frequency. In either case, something that does not vibrate at that level cannot be seen with our conventional five senses. That is why we cannot normally see thoughts, emotions, or out-of-body-travelers since the lowest vibrating

components to those things are still above the vibrational level of basic physical matter.

So when it comes to being unable to perceive something physically, that is due to a gapping at the very lowest end of the spectrum. I suspect this is the case with invisibility technology supposedly used by aliens or in the Philadelphia Experiment, whereby an otherwise physical object is shifted upwards in frequency so that its lowest frequency is above what our five senses can detect. And yet those shifted things can supposedly still observe us.

So someone who is cloaked can observe you, but you cannot see them. That indicates they are slightly higher than you in vibration. This is typical behavior for alien, astral, or demonic lurkers. And in those cases, I don't know if they are viewing your physical body, or that part of your body that is naturally of a higher vibration than just the physical. Here I mean the etheric and astral body, which interpenetrate the physical and act upon it, but cannot be seen with our five senses. I'm guessing that a cloaked observer would be easily seeing your etheric body.

This leads right into your next question:

» If physical reality is the subset of all realms and sits at an infinitesimally low frequency there must be frequencies that exist between that value and the next harmonic of it. But according to realm dynamics these frequencies would not be able to interact with physical reality because they would not be harmonics. What could those frequencies be describing then?

I believe those would be the etheric plane, at the very least. Because the etheric is similar to physical matter, but a bit beyond it. There can exist constructs in the etheric plane, like so-called "thought forms" and various alien gadgetry. So it has structure and tangibility to it (within its realm) but to our physical eyes it remains invisible. But clairvoyants can see it, since the spiritual organs of clairvoyance are attuned to perceiving slightly higher vibrations than physical matter.

Now, to return to the idea of learning lessons. The physical matter comprising the environment, the bodies of the people, and the tangible things making up the learning experience are already resonant with your spectrum since all share in common the vibration of physicality. However, the archetypal essence behind the experience, the thing that you will gain by going through it successfully, is more on the level of thoughts and emotions, more an intangible thing. This archetypal essence is of a frequency that you are missing, which is why you don't yet know the content of the lessons, as you are yet still blind to that lesson. The archetypal essence relates to the physical staging grounds of the experience similar to how your spirit and soul relates to your physical body; they are not the same thing, but they are coupled.

So what I'm saying is that between you and the learning experience, there is no gapping between the lowest parts of both spectrums, only a gap at the higher parts that have to do with thoughts/emotions/spirit. Thus you can physically perceive it, but not initially perceive the lesson involved since those two aspects are at different parts of the spectrum.

» If experience is represented by a spectrum of frequencies, there is still something occurring outside of that spectrum, which is choice. Choice affects each notch in the frequency scale, high and low. So where and what is choice and how does it fit?

I only have a vague intuitive idea of this at the moment. But what I know for certain is the following:

- matter itself barely has any freewill, except at the quantum level where it jitters about in an unpredictable way. However, above the quantum level, where there is no such jittering (because all the quantum jitters cancel or average out) — for instance, a rock sitting on a table — there is no freewill. So somehow zero frequency (or very low frequency) is associated with no choice. That's why in physics one can calculate almost perfectly what a piece of matter will do next, and given enough data and a good model, what it will do indefinitely, meaning because you can predict it, it has no choice of its own.

Now what happens if there is frequency involved. Now you have waves and resonance. Resonance means something is vibrating, or the vibrations are occurring within a bounded area and have the wavelength just right for that area. So

different frequencies that are not harmonics of each other, will resonate with different bounded areas or cavities or node networks.

Therefore I suspect that reality, or our multi-verse, has some kind of fractal or grid or node-like structure to it, and these represent choice points in spacetime. So different vibrations will fit into different spacings of these nodes, some nodes being inaccessible to lower frequencies. Like a guitar string, if tuned too low its wavelength will be much longer than the guitar and it will just flop around like a limp noodle. Thus matter, if it has very low or zero frequency, would resonate with any of those choice point nodes, and therefore be unable to take them. But I'd like to work this out mathematically instead of in an ad hoc way, which is why I haven't published anything on that yet. But it's the direction I'm going at the moment.

To answer your question, though, soul vibrations act on the quantum level by shaping the way in which probable futures turn into a single experience. A quantum system is a cloud of probabilities until observed. Observation is a choice, even if done subconsciously, in which the probabilities turn into a single actuality. Once the actuality is chosen, the next quantum wave function approaches from the future, from which another choice is made, and so on into the future. Soul vibrations shape this process. It can increase the probability of one experience happening over another because you resonate with it or because you need it. But once that experience happens (the actuality occurs) now the next quantum wave function approaches and you still have the choice of how to proceed with that experience. Will you get the point and learn and apply what you learn? Or will the experience pass you by without learning or growing, in which case the attraction or non-repulsion field remains and the experience will return again?

So what you attract, only ends up putting in your lap further possibilities from which to choose, but possibilities that follow from the attracted experience that started it all.

» If the act of observation of many quantum possibilities “forces” a certain choice to be made would the same apply to a robotic random observation? Could a robot which is not conscious (not living) also force the same result?

The usual explanation is that there's a chain of collapses that starts with the initial quantum event and ends with the observer. So let's say a detector watches for radioactive particle to hit it, and a computer records data from the detector, and a lab assistant reads the computer and finally reports it to the scientist.

The idea is that, not only is the initial quantum system in a wave form, but so is the detector spread out among various possibilities, and thus the computer and lab assistant as well.

Ultimately the scientist, then, “chooses” (not consciously, most likely) one particular quantum event to manifest, which not only collapses that tiny subatomic system but also the wave functions of the detector, computer, and lab assistant.

Of course, what about his wife who asks him later what happened? Now the scientist is a wave function too, from her point of view, that collapses according to her “choice” of what to hear about how the experiment turned out.

It boggles the mind to think, then, how many probable versions of ourselves there are... are there parallel selves for each choice we didn't remember making, but which were made in an alternate timeline? What is a being — is it a focalized point of consciousness, or is it some super-being smeared out across all probabilities with each probability being its own mind making its own choices, yet just a fiber in the rope of the super-being?

So as far as the robot goes, if it's not conscious, then the only thing making it different from a conscious human is that it never gets to choose the collapse of anything, it's just a link in the chain and nothing more. Conscious beings are links in the chain of collapse as well, relative to other beings, but at least from their own view they are the end of the chain of collapse, since they are conscious of the manifested event.

Therefore the ultimate conclusion from the above is that the only thing making conscious beings unique... is that they

are conscious. Sounds circular, but that's what it seems to amount to.

Now there is another difference, which physics won't talk about because they can't prove it (or get funding for it) — which is that only a conscious being can, due to intent, thought, and emotion, influence the outcome of quantum events. That's a conscious choice. They can skew radioactive decay rates, for example. I don't have the references handy, but I do recall some private experiments being done on this. Dr William Tiller for instance did experiments on consciousness influencing the pH of water, as well as altering the statistical output of random number generators. No way you could program a robot to do that. On the other hand, you could "imprint" the robot, or even a stone, with your intent/consciousness so that it skews quantum events for you, which now gets into the occult stuff about etheric energies, the etheric plane, and so on.

» **What the heck is this matrix stuff? What is it actually? A board game?**

I think ultimately it's a bubble of Creation gone awry. The original motivation? Maybe exploration of life, consciousness, learning, seeing what happens with certain conditions and individualized beings endowed with freewill... that's the problem though... freewill can only be freewill if it allows the choice to go astray, and going astray accumulates until eventually you have organized collectives seeking to dominate the Creation solely for themselves, against other beings who'd prefer things stay balanced and optimal.

One way or another a rift or black hole or tar pit arose, and earth seems to be part of it. Souls come here, many get trapped by making bad choices or just getting crushed by the challenges to be faced in this world. Then there are the negative elite who run this planet, and the higher negative beings who seem to have their fingerprints all over our dimension, pulling strings from behind the scenes.

Then there are positive beings just as powerful, if not more, who did not succumb to the negative path, and who are trying to debug the matrix and set it right.

The problem is twofold though: 1) the powerful negative beings keeping this world enslaved, and 2) the people who choose to be enslaved, desire it, and resist any attempts to be deprogrammed or told the truth. The latter is the main problem here, and that's why it's taking so long.

Ultimately what needs to happen, I think, is for new/young souls, possibly spiritless people, and other lead weights to stop incarnating here, so that all you have are the enslaved/fallen souls. Then those can be woken up one by one, for as long as it takes, until everyone's finally out and then the lights can be turned off on this errant creation and the switch turned on in the new re-creation where everything is back in balance.

That's how I would do it, but alas I'm just a sub-creator like every other spirited person on this planet and can only contribute my share to the whole thing rather than control it from above...

» **Your opinion on Christianity being born out of paganism and other occult matter as stipulated on the Zeitgeist movies? Is there any real truth in your opinion to existence of Jesus being the Son of God and that he was the sacrifice made on behalf of sinful man and there is no other way to "salvation" except through Him?**

Well, my opinion is that the pagan stuff was tacked onto the original Christianity, and that there's a core of truth and legitimacy to it consisting of the teachings of the advanced soul who we know as Jesus, who incarnated and purified himself enough to channel down into himself the Christ Intelligence which is somewhat like the Higher Self but a bit more powerful and general and devoted to the redemption of humanity from having fallen into this spiritual prison.

And I believe the second coming is not the physical return of Jesus, but a replication of the process in numerous people who likewise will become readied to accept the Christ Intelligence into themselves (or rather activated it from within, activate the connection to it). I think thousands of Christs are better than one, at least. The idea of Jesus Christ as your personal lord and savior, I don't subscribe to that in the modern Christian sense of giving over my freewill to an externally

visualized personality. But I do believe in bowing my self (my lower self / ego / personality) to that higher transcended part of me, my higher spirit / higher self, whose spiritual impulses act like a magnet drawing me ever closer to that distant goal of spiritual awakening and perfection, allowing greater service in the name of the divine will. In other words, an internal process of becoming the true spiritual Self. So if I am Christian, it would be via the Gnostic stream of Christianity.

So I see Jesus Christ as an example of what's possible. Also I believe his crucifixion wasn't on a wooden cross, but on the cross of space and time through the sacrifice of having to be born here into a world of darkness to inject a bit of "spiritual tech support" and offset the damage caused by the Old Testament with its jealous angry god of wrath and advocacy of tribalism.

Problem though is that after Jesus left the world, the worldly powers-that-be did some heavy damage control and as history shows, used it toward political ends. I believe they also modified his biography by merging it with their own occult/pagan symbolism and lore, thus what Zeitgeist says about the life of Jesus mirroring so closely the other mythical deities and practices. I disagree with Zeitgeist that he never existed. I think what few records existed of him were scrubbed by the Church so that they could push their own version of it. There's lots that has been scrubbed about our past.

» Do you believe others in the past have made the transition to 4th density and on the way to full transition were able to achieve teleportation, telekinesis, shapeshifting, invisibility, etc... ?

Yes, initiates of secret societies who reached a certain level of mastery achieved this. If you read some of the Carlos Castaneda book, some of that is described among the Toltec shamans. In western esotericism, there are examples like Comte Saint-Germain, Fulcanelli, and Christian Rosenkreutz, although the discreetness among those on this path would preclude most from entering the history books. There are also esoteric masters in the orient, who have done this, Buddha being the most well known. I think their path was so difficult because they were doing it on their own. Now, if there is a sort of "wave" approaching, that wave can give a boost so that it doesn't take multiple lives of achieving mastery via mystery schools to transcend the 3D physical.

» I remember you saying that greys are nothing more than biological robotic space suits or 4d reptilian entities to inhabit via a hyperdimensional soul to body linking technology. Well couldn't we say that we too are 4d entities linking to our biological bodies through a similar technology?

That's right, good observation. The main difference is that we are biosuits for a 5D spirit, whereas greys are biosuits for 4D variably-physical reptilians. Either way it involves a physical extension of a remote consciousness. Greys however seem especially engineered for that purpose, to be especially susceptible to remote interfacing by a lower consciousness that goes in and out as necessary, while humans are by default specialized for housing one consciousness that incarnates at beginning of life and leaves at death. However, another thing in common is that when greys aren't being interfaced, they still act on their own like AI-controlled automatons to carry out their programming, while humans likewise can still walk and talk even if their spiritual core is temporarily or permanently absent, meaning people can still live on autopilot without a higher driver.

» I hear/read about DUMBs (Deep Underground Military Bunkers), human-alien treaties, the control matrix, hybrids walking among us, the evil agenda, etc., but I have a difficult time discerning if this is truth or disinformation and deception. How would you recommend I proceed in finding the truth on this subject?

For myself, I look for hidden agendas behind these various theories, things that they fail to account for or explain adequately, and I also try reading the vibe of the intent/consciousness behind the individuals pushing those ideas. Sometimes it's just misinformation — someone who hasn't had enough experiences, reasoning, or resources to come to the correct conclusion and made some faulty assumptions. I'm sure some of my info falls into this category as I'm still learning. However, the goal is not to be perfect, but to be more logically sound and practical a theory than what else is out there.

Disinfo always has an agenda to deceive, and so with any idea, it helps to look at whom does it benefit, whom does it

harm, what is it ultimately trying to get you to do, what is its effect in the long run. Most often it takes some basic truths and spins them toward false conclusions, in which case you can try to look for the logical fallacies they are using to make those false conclusions, or what other truths they are purposely leaving out. They tend to stick to a small set of popular truths that gets everyone nodding their heads, but either don't know about or try to ignore those more fringe-like truths.

For example, someone pushing a pro-alien agenda may refer to everything from Whitley Strieber's books to Zechariah Sitchin, John Mack, and so on... and thus try to say that Greys are benevolent and are hybridizing a new race that has the best of both qualities human and alien, in order to save both our races from imminent extinction. That sounds good in theory until you come across more fringe truths like the works of Karla Turner, Eve Lorgen, James Bartley, and others who have had experiences (or talk to people who have) that mainstream ufology reject as being too unusual or dark or not fitting the expected pattern. Then you realize that Greys are also involved in energy harvesting, mind programming of people, monitoring and manipulation, and other nefarious activities. But these authors are relatively unknown to the mainstream UFO crowd, thus the propagandizers can get away with it.

Ultimately you only need to believe the minimum needed to account for everything you know to be fact or have no reason to suspect is fabricated. If you've had alien experiences yourself, that helps a lot since it gives you additional dots to connect. I've had communications with lots of people who share their experiences with me, and have had experiences myself, that I've got plenty of dots that don't fit into some theories out there. That's why I've had to devise my own to account for them, and the result is on my site.

You can also detect the vibe behind a source by putting yourself in their shoes or listening to the tone of the writing. There's a certain glibness, slickness, calculation, hastiness, desperation behind it, like a con-artist calling you up on the phone with a friendly voice but you can tell there's something not right underneath. What has more truth will resonate more with you, like ring a bell of recognition that yes this sounds right, although the trick there is that you have to be coming from a place of truth and discernment yourself, otherwise something could just stroke your ego and you feel good and think it's the truth even though it's deception (which a lot of New Age channeling and material tends to do for instance).

Overall I'd say keep it simple, have your pile of what you are pretty sure is true, and a pile of speculation that still needs some work. As you encounter new materials, look for oversights, holes, logical fallacies, and vibes of deception or naive entrancement. The latter feels like they've got cotton in their brain, are in a trance state, and it'll rub off on you when you read it by putting you in a less discerning and more muddled mindset. You'll start accumulating ideas that remain solid time after time, passing all your tests, and even if you can't prove them completely true you know at least that you haven't yet proven them false and that they are actually useful ideas that explain some things that other theories can't. That's the sign of a keeper, until you realize its flaw.

» **Why don't you provide more excerpts, references, and citations to the source material for your articles?**

Mostly because my writings are more than the sum of their parts. They are not strictly patchworks assembled from the words of others. First and foremost they are based on reason, intuition, observation, and experience. I use source material more for the purposes of inspiration, correlation, and post-confirmation, therefore they aren't necessarily sources in the sense of me just regurgitating what I read based on its authority.

Further, an excerpt in itself lacks the context of the entire work from which it was pulled, it lacks the context of my own insights I had while reading it, and it lacks the context of how it compares to my personal experiences and all the other sources I have come across. And only in those contexts, none of which are apparent in the excerpt itself, does the excerpt have any importance. Brevity keeps me from spelling out the full context. My aim is to get ideas across, and having articles with fifty parts made mostly of excerpts and footnotes interferes with that.

The only times I find it worth providing an excerpt is when it says my point better than I can say it, or when the source

might be difficult for a reader to obtain and the relevant excerpt is short enough for me to include. But I am very much against the idea of making my case on the basis of authority, like quoting someone and saying it must be true because this person has lots of credentials. That is a form of manipulation that caters to blind faith in authority. I want to be persuasive solely on the basis of logic, intuition, and experience, and I want to do it quickly, efficiently, and clearly. Most often that means presenting the distilled end product in its most concise and generic form.

And the only time I find it valuable to cite a “source” is to point readers to additional reading. Therefore you will see me provide lists of recommended books and websites, leaving it to the reader to pull their own weight in pursuing those sources and distilling their own important insights, which will reveal to them why I stressed the importance of those books and websites.

My method is to take everything I have at my disposal, distill a refined end product, provide this to the reader, and then let it inspire the reader to realize or confirm it through his or her own experience, intuition, reason, and research. Last thing I want to do is take away that precious eureka moments of self-discovery when a realization on their part occurs. There has to be an element of surprise and self-growth involved, otherwise it’s a form of dry information transfer that borders on programming. My words are intended to be signposts pointing to pre-existing truths that readers can and must access themselves through moments of inspiration and realization. They are not intended to point to other signposts that point to other signposts, ad infinitum.

» Since you readily admit you believe in both positive and negative ETs, how do you know you aren’t being duped, that in truth all are negative. It’s cosmic good cop/bad cop with the end result being the same, they get total control of the human race in the end, whether they portrayed themselves to be positive or not. Why would you trust your own senses to somehow detect the true intentions of beings of higher intelligence? Isn’t that the ultimate gamble to trust ANY of “them”?

Your question is similar to asking how you can tell whether someone befriending you is actually friendly, and not just a con-artist, murderer, leech, or crazy person. What you do is take in every perception, observation, intuitive impression you can about them, and look for red flags or ways that these could indicate insincerity. You take one of those possibilities, or one of those ulterior motives that you can think of, and you test it against your observations — do the observations prove or disprove that possibility?

If the higher intelligence of aliens implies they can dupe anyone and everyone, then I wouldn’t be able to detect any deception in any alien because they would be smart enough to have all bases covered. Yet, there is enough observation, intuition, and research available to me, that I can detect ulterior motives, deception, insincerity in many of them.

Then there are a few that pass all my tests. I *tentatively* consider those few positive until further observation/data comes along to suggest an opening for deception. The problem is that there are many pro-ET people out there who are so lacking in broadness of data/observation that their tests for what is positive is too simplistic. For example, someone says, “Aliens haven’t attacked us yet because we are still here... if they were hostile, they would have done so already, therefore they must be benevolent” — nope, wrong, because higher forms of hostility use the illusion of peace and progress to gain a bloodless victory through the acquiescence of freewill in their victims.

Another reason behind my views, is that I can do a side-by-side comparison with alien groups who appear to have a genuinely positive orientation, and those deceptive ones pretending to be positive. The latter do a mimicry that appears positive superficially, but underneath you can detect insincerity, you can see how it’s cherry picking certain supporting truths and sweeping the rest under the rug, and how following that faux positive agenda would lead to negative consequences in the long run. The genuinely positive ones don’t have that. So there is a good cop / bad cop scenario happening, only aside from the fake positives I can recognize real positives too. Unfortunately the real ones you simply don’t hear or read about in most UFO/abduction literature.

Should some ET group in the future show up and claim to be the good guys, it’s not their opposition to the bad guys

that counts, it's what they say, what they do, and what red flags they give off that will allow a determination of their orientation. In this way, the only way for me to be duped, is if my own hierarchy of values (freedom, truth, wisdom, respect, balance) is in error, because as long as I ensure that an alien group is in complete alignment with these values both in word and action, then I would be the one duping myself and would gladly accept the responsibility for that error.

» I was wondering if this information, namely "THE TRUTH" will eventually become known to all souled humans and if the grand scheme of things upon this planet will be soon changing because of increased awareness and development of collective human consciousness?

People will learn the truth according to their readiness and need to know. Which unfortunately means that many will go without it, since many are neither ready nor have the need to know concerning certain truths. I mean, some people just aren't here to hear the truth, but to live a life, get their issues worked out, pick up some lessons, and leave. It depends also on how generic and universal the truth is. Some things are simple, direct, practical, and those could be more widely known. But trivia items like who the Greys are working for, what secret societies are running the world, and so on will be least known. Truth will spread to open and receptive minds, though, and I see the future as a competition over the minds and awareness of humans, a competition between truth and deception, which confronts individuals with a choice about what values take priority for them and what side they truly stand for.

» Does a human's eyes say everything about their personification and soul (or absence of)?

It says something about their sincerity and level of sentience. You can detect slyness or dimness in a person's eyes. But only if you're good at observing such things, which requires a bit of empathy and clairvoyance. I mean, there are people with shallow or immature souls who have pretty sparkling eyes, but that's because they might be good looking and wearing makeup and having good genetics. You really have to look at the "I" behind their eye, get inside their heads and feel what's going on in there.

» Dwelling on loneliness, sadness and hopelessness. Are these simply elements of darkness and will only result in loss of soul energy?

Loneliness, sadness, and hopelessness I find are good as temporary, and sparingly used, feelings to add some sobriety and seriousness — these though have to be transmuted into their closest positive soul-based emotions, such as sorrow and hurt for others, which then leads right into compassion, acceptance, humility. You can start with those and pull the pendulum that way to the negative direction, but when you then focus on more positive feelings the pendulum swings the other way farther than if you tried to start from a flat, apathetic, numb state.

I listen to doom metal too, which has themes of sorrow, depression, loneliness, and it's like a dead weight, like a ballast to my ship, keeping me a bit more serious than otherwise, so that when I do raise my vibes and think of helping others and that I'm an immortal spirit in a human body, that I don't get too giddy and frivolous for my own good. However, based on past experience, I do know it's possible to do away with those negative emotions and sustain enthusiasm, sobriety, determination, optimism, and realism, humility, compassion, etc... and the longer I keep it up, the more my reality becomes magically helpful and filled with real miracles and positive coincidences.

» It was obvious the peer pressure of this world at such a young age, kids keep getting exposed to violence, sex, drugs at younger and younger ages, it makes me angry, but i'm guessing this is a selfish reaction, do you have any thoughts on what is going on and going to happen to the children of this world?

Ultimately, perhaps the majority of kids are being brain-damaged, corrupted, and programmed to prepare them to become docile members of a global totalitarian police state. Then there is a minority who are "special" and along the lines of Indigo children, hybrids, etc... some of which are genuinely higher positive souls and some who are assets for the alien agenda. This means there will be a greater polarization of society between superhuman and subhuman types of people. From a Control System perspective this would be useful to create a soft master-slave society, or somehow degrade and wipe out the "useless eaters" while preserving the useful ones.

The average child whose destiny and talents are of no use or threat to the bigger agenda is getting swept up in all the degradation, as you have noticed. And you can only work with a few, and only to the extent that you have contact with them. If they are your own child, then great there is lots you can do. But if you only see him or her irregularly, it's hard enough saying anything that will get through to them and stick. Parents have a hard time teaching pre-teens and teens anything, let alone just a friend or acquaintance trying that.

But myself, if there is a seed of curiosity in the child toward anything unusual, I'll converse with questions and awe-inspiring possibilities for him or her to ponder, and to show the specialness of the higher conscience within us and how it's like a secret power that we can nurture. As their curiosity grows, I can lead them toward seeing more and more of the fakery and illusion we are surrounded with, including what is taught in schools. So it's like lighting a spark and fanning the flames a bit, and hopefully that breaks them out of the stupor a bit. Depends on the individual, as some are naturally curious while others are already jaded and too caught up in superficials to care about anything.

» **Have you ever researched anything about that 2012 conspiracy and what is your take on that?**

Other than my article "The Physics of 2012" I haven't written much on it because I'm still researching. But in a nutshell, history works on cycles, cycles upon cycles, and they are converging in the coming years. This includes climate cycles that will mean screwy weather and problems with food production, which is already happening. It includes social and political upheaval. Basically, a time of great change. Add to this the strong possibility that we are about to enter an "altered region of spacetime" meaning that we'll be hit with some higher energy that will accelerate the pace at which we spiritually evolve in life ... provided we *are* evolving.

There may be people who are incapable, or unwilling, to grow spiritually and prefer distraction/sleep/negativity and they will not adjust well to these energies. I don't think that Dec 2012 itself is a magical date upon which we all ascend, but rather 2010-2030 is a big window of opportunity where an increasing number of people will gain spiritual power and help change the world, all the while dark forces will try as hard as they can to keep that from happening and turn things in their favor instead. What can we expect in those times? Lots of changes, conflict, transformation, new ways of living, having to adjust to new conditions and doing without the old conveniences, having to choose between light and darkness masquerading as light, and so on. In the end, yes I do think that those who are ready will shift to a higher dimension, but I don't think it will happen to everyone, nor those people all at the same time.

» **I haven't gotten into E.T s and UFO's at this point.. but if it is something that I'll need to approach, I'll eventually come around to it without forcing it on myself.**

Regarding the alien issue, that's a whole huge topic in itself. Normally I would say, if you haven't had signs of abductions in your life, or interference from unseen negative forces, then there is not much practical value in studying it. However, I do sense we are fast approaching a time when aliens will reveal their existence to mankind... but to the detriment of mankind since it will be selfish aliens pretending to be here in our best interests. And in that sense, it will involve and impact everyone on the planet. It's worth studying therefore once the signs become all too clear that such a scenario is approaching. For instance, when NASA announces life has been found on Mars (in the form of microbes) and the religious/scientific implications are debated in the following months, and alien/UFO political groups kick into high gear trying to prepare us for open contact with aliens, then it will be absolutely necessary to know a few things in order to see through any potential lies and deceptions when those aliens do arrive.

» **Do you have any knowledge or experience with spinning and the number 33 in particular?**

[This was in reference to Barbara Marciniak's book *Bringers of the Dawn* and the Cassiopaeon Transcripts, both of which recommended standing up and spinning around 33 times, up to three times a day. This supposedly has some kind of etheric/dimensional energy raising effect on the person].

I tried spinning, on and off through the years, but couldn't avoid getting sick and headachey so haven't kept it up.

As for the number 33, the Cass transcripts suggested it had something to do with the third and eleventh house of the zodiac. $11 \times 3 = 33$. 11:33 on clocks for me is a good number signaling graduation to a new level or mastery of a lesson. So it seems tied into the idea of elite, enlightenment, higher control, masters, esoteric, etc...

Third house in zodiac is gemini. Eleventh house is aquarius. Gemini is the twins, but the mythology of it has to do with two combatants or boxers locked in combat. So it signifies dueling between dualities. Aquarius is the water bearer, pouring out the river. Which river? I'd say the river of time. The age of aquarius begins 2400-2600 A.D. while the age of Gemini spanned 6000-4000 B.C. My interpretation: 33 has to do with the reality we are in right now, the timeloop spawned from the future near 3000 A.D and going back in time beginning around 6000-4000 B.C. Incidentally, some Bible scholars believe the world was created in 4004 B.C. Everything in between those beginning and end points of the timeloop is a kind of chess-board (matrix) across time upon which the two dualities of the future are competing. And the various secret societies would be among the chess pieces.

» An acquaintance of mine familiar with these conspiracy subjects is bitter and depressed about the state of things, especially about how we are being controlled by forces we cannot even comprehend. He has experienced this control first hand and is disheartened.

About being depressed and bitter, a lifetime of being under the gun will do that. He knows full well, because he sees it every day, that there are higher negative forces who can manipulate reality to the smallest detail, and I think that is a bit overwhelming for him to see how powerless we can be in the face of that.

But when it comes to dealing with such forces, there is a way out and beyond. And it has to do with how our consciousness throttles or redirects the flow of our experiences, and how it hooks us up with whatever hyper-dimensional forces we are resonating with. It's kind of like we parachuted behind enemy lines and are now surrounded by hostility, and our radios got thrown out of whack and we can only pick up noise or the local enemy communications. By retuning the radio, we can make contact with our own air support, who can then drop-lift supplies, give guidance, and take out a few enemies when things get too tough. This world is a rigged game, and the only way to win a rigged game is to not play by its rules, but by the rules of something outside of it that has more power than it.

And depression, hopelessness, bitterness is how *not* to retune the radio. I think a combination of optimism and hope concerning the things we cannot absolutely know or control is necessary, and awareness and responsible action for the things we can do something about is required. The first attunes consciousness to a certain frequency that shifts the probability of events away from further misfortune, and the latter shifts the spectrum of what is possible versus impossible. This has worked in my own life, and through it one can create a bubble of synchronicity or "good luck and protection" that only bursts when you fail to uphold that elevated state of mind and slip back into mindlessness and cynicism. Which happens to me periodically and then, after probability shifts the opposite way and holds a hot poker to my ass, I snap back to attention and turn things around.

» By nature I am a skeptical person, as much as I don't want to be. I am not doubting that the material you presented is false; I have no way of proving or disproving so I will not bother. My question is where do you get your information from? Clearly this is not something that is taught to people on a large scale.

I'll give you some background on myself, so that you get an idea of where I'm coming from.

I've been trying to figure things out since being a baby. Came into this life with lots of latent knowledge that later surfaced as questions and intuitions. Had paranormal and alien experiences as a kid that taught me reality was weird. My grandfather taught me science, and grandmother kept me busy with construction material and legos, while my dad didn't mind having cool discussions with me about whatever questions came to mind. Here is a [picture](#) of me at age three or four, playing with magnets while sitting in my first of two homemade UFOs. So I did lots of questioning, pondering, tinkering, and creative things back then.

Then at age 12, after having relocated to the U.S. with my mom and new stepfather, I got a library card and read all the

UFO, New Age, and physics books at the local library. Several years later I made friends with a penpal who became a mentor of sorts regarding fringe subjects, and we carried on a correspondence for a couple years more, and this was my intro to the conspiracy subjects.

Then around 1999 I stumbled onto esotericism / metaphysics, the material at trufax.org. In 2000 I began researching the Cassiopaeian material and the next three years would be spent consolidating all I had learned previously and working with the Cassiopaeian research group. I also read a bunch more books and websites, and corresponded with people who relayed me their personal experiences.

My main inspirations... well I tend to come across sources that match what I've intuited first, so they're not always primary sources so much as secondary correlating sources. But some have been more influential than others. The research journals published by Val Valerian and his Matrix I – IV books. Several UFO books like the ones by David Jacobs and UFO magazines. I read *Trance Formation of America* back in 95 or 96, same with *Behold a Pale Horse* by William Cooper (thanks to that mentor pen pal). Good channeling sources like Seth Material, Law of One (Ra) Material, Cassiopaeian Transcripts and The Wave Series at that site. And later, some Fourth Way books (Gurdjieff and Ouspensky, and later Boris Mouravieff and his Gnosis books), Carlos Castaneda books (and Theun Mares, similar subject matter). The Impersonal Life books by Joseph Benner, a couple by Manly P. Hall, and more recently some Rudolf Steiner. Also some more hardcore conspiracy sources like Branton, Creston, Phil Schneider, Karla Turner, James Bartley, Eve Lorgen, George Andrews, and Jordan Maxwell, David Icke. But my real source is my intuition, observation, experience. Sitting down with a nice blank notebook and a good pen, posing questions and figuring out answers ... that's where the real work takes place.

So combine all this with continuous pondering and sketching things out, trying to crack the mysteries, test them out in real life, and plenty of networking with others and incorporating their anecdotes of anomalous experiences into my data pool, and here I am!

» If I understood your book "Fringe Knowledge for Beginners" correctly, "all of this is by Design". The Subterranean Aliens desire and have orchestrated a "Revolution", so they may Surface and become the "Ultimate Peace Keepers, Saviors and Controllers."

The elite human/nonhuman controllers who do not have our best interests in mind, may have initiated or allowed the internet as a means of consolidating their surveillance and control abilities. Since, after all, it is through the internet that there will be online banking, which ties into the "mark of the beast" system once personal identity cards or numbers are linked into bank accounts and perhaps implantable chips and such. I don't think they are directly in favor of this awareness-revolution where people are waking up to the truth.

However, it is a typical tactic of negative forces to allow a small success for the positive side so that they can reap an even bigger negative victory for themselves. One example is Bush — why was someone this obviously retarded and aggravating placed in the White House? He is too obviously someone that the world can hate. Therefore it may have been by design. Personally I think the goal is to use him as a fall guy to prop up whatever alternative they offer after him. One scenario is that he and other world leaders are being used to create so much error and corruption that the world gets fed up with human leadership and wouldn't mind allowing some kind of alien group, perceived as enlightened saviors, to take over the leadership. That's where I think this is going, to shock the world with the ineptness of human leadership, the pure irresponsibility and corruptibility of humans leading humans, so that the alien alternative is way better. Of course, what they don't say is that these leaders were selected, programmed, and encouraged in their corruption by these same negative forces later offering themselves up as the solution. Problem Reaction Solution, as David Icke has written about... it works on the political scale, and on the intergalactic scale too!

» I have a question which is kind of unrelated: Regarding the "Negative Aliens" and the "Illuminati"; who's ultimately in charge? I'm assuming there's a hierarchy. If I recall correctly, the Reptilian Aliens report to the Nordic Aliens, which means the "Nordics" must be atop the Pyramid, with the Illuminati being "third in command" under the Reptilians and Nordics.

That's one version, yes, and it makes the most sense to me. I think it works something like this:

- Demonic overlords (5D negative)
- Nordic occult overlords (lower 5D negative)
- Nordic crusaders and Mantis beings (upper 4D)
- Lower demonic minions (astral)
- Reptilian Minions and other negative aliens (lower 4D)
- Grays, which are genetically engineered robots (semi 4D/3D)
- Upper Illuminati (occult, possessed, secret society people) (3D, a little 4D)
- Shadow Government (underground bases, ultra-black ops military)
- Lower Illuminati (political elite, Bilderbergers, etc...)
- Open secret societies (freemasons and so on)
- State / local government, corporations, university systems, etc....

So that's top to bottom, except each thing can influence each thing below it. So for instance one could have demonic entities influencing people at the local level.

» **What is your opinion of the Invisible College? Are they Good, Bad or Both? They are very "upfront" about their motivation of telling the "truth." They are trying to protect their planet from our "bad guys."**

Depends on which source speaking about the Invisible College you have in mind. There are impostors pretending to be the Invisible College, there are charlatans using that name in their fabrications, and so on. So if you read of an "Invisible College" in a particular text, it's no guarantee they're the real deal. You'd have to go by what they're saying, who they seem to be, what they advise, what they do. Ideally, the Invisible College is a body of graduates from our physical realm, beings on the next rung up the spiritual evolutionary ladder, who are into knowledge and balance... same thing as the ideal version of the Great White Brotherhood you might read about elsewhere, or the 4th density Service-To-Other beings. In that case, yes they're good guys, but they have limitations and sometimes their wisdom and farsightedness makes them stand back from taking certain actions if they know it needs to transpire.

The text **War in Heaven** also speaks about an Invisible College, but I believe those guys in that text are astral impostors trying to play the good guy in order to suck souls into neverending make-believe astral warfare in the afterlife in order to suck their energy dry. The way the Invisible College speaks and acts in that book just has the sound of immaturity and petty melodrama to it, which is in contrast to the real good guys who are very calm, collected, lucid, matter-of-fact, wise, and humble.

I agree with what the book says about Theocratic control in the sense that there are astral dictators who round up lost souls and squeeze them dry, who enslave them in the afterlife for quite a long "time." Although the book makes it sound like people have no choice, and that they get enslaved if they don't choose sides. In my view, people are only as enslaved in the afterlife as they are here in this life. So someone who is deeply indoctrinated into organized religion will, after death, continue to feed into that illusion, an illusion created and run by the Theocrats. But likewise, those who think for themselves and do not join into a pre-manufactured belief system, who have found a true, practical, living, and empowering spirituality in life, will not feed into a Theocrat-run illusion after death. Nor would they have to join the so-called Invisible College to do endless battle.

Everything that is described concerning the Theocrats and Invisible College appears to take place on the astral planes. The thing about the astral planes is that entities there are not physical in the same way we are, but they are nevertheless not much smarter. In other words, they are still 3Dish in their consciousness, if not less so at times. The real positive forces are of a spiritual purity and power that the average person cannot comprehend, way above what the book describes concerning the Invisible College. The latter sounds more like a team of discarnate freedom fighters caught up in the game of tit-for-tat astral warfare than those positive forces that work beyond that level. And what I therefore conclude is that either the Invisible College is simply that, an organization of 3Dish-yet-nonphysical freedom fighters, or else it's actually

another Theocratic deception. Think about it — if after you die, you join this team to do battle against the “bad guys”, you will spend an awful long time and energy doing so perhaps with no real results gained for yourself in terms of spiritual growth and liberation. Thus it could be a decoy to get you spinning your wheels. I just don’t think the Invisible College as described in the book is true to how higher positive forces actually operate, and that it acts a bit too petty. Just my view on that. Or if they are positive, then I don’t think they are the end-all-be-all of what’s out there in terms of positive forces. War in Heaven makes a good point about astral control systems, but I just feel it’s placing a ceiling on the truth in regards to who the good guys are.

Whether any of the good guys are trying to protect the planet... very tough question. They are known to protect individuals who need to be protected, and they seem to answer sincere calls for assistance, but at the same time they do hold back in matters beyond their jurisdiction, or in matters brought on by the willful ignorance of a person who must suffer the consequences as the only way to get the lesson through his thick skull. They are more about helping people help themselves rise higher in spiritual purity and awareness, than taking away all their problems. I think they understand that negative beings can sometimes act as a learning catalyst, but they are known to step in when things get out of hand.

» Are “Demonic Overlords” and “Lower Demonic Minions”, Aliens? In other words, are all levels above the Human Illuminati, Aliens?

When I think of “aliens” I picture a being that is not human, equal or more intelligent than a human, and in some way physical even if only semi-physical in the sense that it can change into energy and walk through walls or perhaps travel consciously through time and travel between dimensions. But that it still exists in a physical or semi-physical state and environment (in alien ships or underground bases for instance) is what differentiates them from, say, demonic beings.

Demonic beings seem to be entirely located in the non-physical dimensions. These are primarily the astral planes. They are one step above aliens for that reason, because they are no longer physical. However, they can materialize themselves physically if given enough energy to do so, like when Illuminati do sacrificial rituals and so on. They are purely dark energy beings. The demonic overlords are at the tip top of the negative hierarchy, however. The more negative a being becomes, the more cut off he is from the rest of Creation, so these demonic overlords are like black holes in their nonphysical dimension, very heavy, very dense, very dark in the spiritual sense. Something like you’d read about in an H.P. Lovecraft novel. They are the most ancient, the most “wise” among negative beings, the most trained in magickal manipulations and so on. Their only limitation is their lack of energy because they need so much of it to keep from collapsing into oblivion, and that they are so cut off from the rest of Creation. So they only tend to project their minds into those trying to contact them, because for the most part they are stuck.

» Is it possible to retain 100% memory after reincarnation? Not a “swiss cheese” memory, but 100%? Why learn all of this incredible knowledge just to “lose it in the next life?”

According to Carlos Castaneda, through the shamanic technique of recapitulation, this is possible. In recapitulation you spend months, even years, systematically remembering everything you did in life, everyone you met. Of course nowadays with our busy lives this is harder to do, so remembering at least the important impressionable and meaningful events in full detail is demanded. The idea being, that by doing so you create a copy of your life, so that at death when your original set of memories dissolve back into the universe as supposedly happens, you still get to keep this copy.

What recapitulation may do is transfer memories to a part of you that survives death, rather than the part that is dissolved by death. Kind of like creating a printout of documents so that when the hard drive gets wiped out you still have something.

Why learn all this knowledge just to lose it? Well, the thing is this — anything you truly learn, you don’t forget. In fact, it’s not that you “remember” it anymore so much as it’s lodged so deep within you that it becomes you. In the next life, this could manifest as a knowingness that you don’t know how you know, you just do. It means that if you did have to learn again next life, it would be very quick because you’d merely be reminded of what you already know subconsciously rather

than having to go through trials and pains to get it stamped into your soul as with new lessons. Amnesia is a true filter between separating what is superfluous and what is universally important. That's why we don't remember how to do math, how to speak a particular language, or how to drive a car necessarily because these are mechanical programs that disappear with the death of the physical memory system (brain, body, etc...). However, those soul aspects of it, like say the love and appreciation of mathematical beauty, the enthusiasm for a particular language, that alone can carry over into the next life and form the impulses to pick those up again.

Neither is always fully a good thing. With amnesia does come repeating mistakes, wasting time learning superfluous things over again. But with full memory... ha, ever notice a lot of people in their 60s and 70s who are just drained from life and bitter and crotchety? Imagine if they were to keep that state permanently. For those kinds of people, maybe getting the crud wiped out before next birth is a good thing. On the other hand, if you are a higher soul here on a mission, then remembering and staying true to your True self is absolutely important... therefore I'd say it depends on the individual, whether it's more positive than not or vice versa to have the amnesia upon incarnating.

» My understanding is, all "Time" (the Past, Present and Future) is happening "Simultaneously" on different "Frequencies." That's why time travel is possible. To go to the Past or the Future, one must simply enter that particular frequency. Is this true or false?

Linear time is an illusion in the sense that all alternate pasts and probable futures along with parallel presents exist simultaneously. It's just a big, complicated, set of possibilities frozen in a single eternal moment.

However, there is still a kind of "time" that separates one freewill choice from the one that comes after, that separates your less wise self from your wiser self after having learned new lessons. It's just that those lessons and choices don't necessarily have to follow the stream of linear time from past to future. They can span different timelines, different lives, go forward and backward in time, alter the past, change the future, shift in the present, etc...

Think of time like a large library of DVDs. All those movies exist simultaneously. But only as mere possibilities. Maybe some friends of yours have rented a few and are playing them right now, while the rest sit on the shelf. But it's you and your DVD player that creates a sequence of what is played for you. And you can play them in any order, rewind them, pause them, etc... though while watching them, time within the movie moves forward. Is the time in a movie real? No, the beginning, middle, and end are simultaneous, unless you're a character in the movie then it's real.

Same with us... here in these physical bodies, which themselves are chained to the illusion of linear time, we see time as linear. But outside the physical body, outside this bubble, what was once a real and unstoppable motion of time may be seen as having been an illusion all along.

Illusions are real to things equally illusory. Therefore reality is illusion to those above the illusion, but real to those within the illusion. Linear time is real to us, but imaginary to us when we're no longer our limited little selves down here.

» When you say "illusion," do you mean it in the sense that if a person does not "experience" the reality, therefore it's an illusion?

Oh, by "illusion" I mean that it's not an absolute, that the limits seemingly delineated by it fall away when you exist outside its boundaries. Within linear time, it seems real alright. I can remember my past, know that I'm in the present, and know that I'm going into tomorrow not into yesterday. It is all I have consciously known this life, therefore linear time seems real and absolute to me. And by real, I would mean inescapable, that it cannot be any other way. And that's the illusion, that it cannot be another way. Outside my body though, in dreams, in between life times, linear time no longer applies as strictly as it does in the body, indicating there are counter-examples to the idea that linear time is absolute.

» If that's true, everything is an "illusion," except that which we personally experience, which is a very small part of our life.

In a way I agree. The modification I would make is this — that the things we are not personally experiencing because we are “above” them are indeed illusions to us, while the things “above” us which are still beyond our little spotlight of conscious experience are real but invisible. For example, a game of chess requires that you sink “down” into its make-believe world to take the rules seriously enough to play the game. But really you are above the game of chess and can walk away, or if desired can move the pieces around any way you wish. The game of chess is an illusion to you.

Then you get in your car and bump your head in the top of the door frame — the door frame is real and visible because it’s on the same level of realness/illusoriness as your head.

Then as you drive home, you are about to get T-boned by a drunk driver but at the last moment time freezes and your car is moved slightly so that the collision never happens, and afterwards all you remember is that you narrowly missed the collision. Something higher interceded, and it affected you with your being aware of it, because it is higher than your spotlight of experience. You are an illusion to it, but it’s more real than you, just as stagehands behind the curtain are more real than the characters being portrayed on stage.

» If there is a Negative Hierarchy, I’m assuming there is also a “Positive Hierarchy” (of which you and me are apart). What is the Positive Hierarchy?

That’s a great question. It’s not so much a master-controller / slave-subordinate hierarchy, but a master-teacher / journeyman-student relationship. The higher is seen as a source of higher wisdom, guidance, and inspiration.

The “lowest” part of this “hierarchy” would be ourselves, or at least those of us with more benevolent than malevolent inclinations.

Above that would be those saintly or masterly people among us who have awakened to their higher consciousness and hearts and serve mankind in very quiet but powerful ways. You’d never know they had that role if you met them because discretion comes with the wisdom they have. They are still physical though. Many of them seem to be part of positive secret societies, secret because their mission is compromised if they were publicly known (via worship or fear, persecution by people who don’t know better), and society because strength in numbers is always a good thing.

Above them are semi-physical superhuman or angelic humanoid types who can shift in and out of our reality and are part of a spiritual brotherhood spread throughout the realms beyond our own. They are humble divine servants who are not limited by the physical and emotional limitations that we are still bound to. They can take on physical form, but are not fully angelic because they still have bodies and technology, and are more popularly known as positive hyper-dimensional aliens. I think the positive nordic aliens are of this category. They are like elder brethren to us.

Those individuals then are known to communicate with, or get guidance from, even higher nonphysical divine beings. From what I can tell, those nonphysical divine beings are more of the angelic category, often functioning as oracles or master-teachers or council members. Those beings themselves don’t engage in battles, but are even more purely service-oriented and are beyond the whole physical incarnation thing.

In the Allies of Humanity material they are referred to as “The Unseen Ones” while those in the previous paragraph are called the “harim” — while by the Ra/Cassiopaeon material the former are 6D STO social memory complexes while the latter are 4D/5D STO beings. I think in the Seth Material the former are referred to as “The Others” although my memory is spotty on that one. Generic term would be “lower positive beings” versus “higher positive beings.”

It’s a recurring pattern in various sources, that above us are individualized variably physical positive beings who are like initiates or knights or journeymen compared to the oracles / master-teachers / unseen guides in the realm above them. For instance, the latter can comprise councils, to which the lower positive types go to for arbitration and important decision making, and so on. That lower/upper division I am pretty certain about.

And above all that is God/Creator, which equally interpenetrates us, and of which we are a tiny extension. That part of

us from where our intuition, love, empathy, and creativity comes from is this godspark.

There is also the Christ intelligence and/or the Higher Self (I think those are the same) which appears to be a specialized extension of God representing our spiritually perfected versions of ourselves. If we connect with it and become an open expression of it, it makes us the most potent and powerful servants of the divine will possible in our individualized form. It's like a higher consciousness. Those higher positive humans, and the positive superhuman types, have an active line of mutual communion with this Christ / Higher Self. Jesus was the flagship example of what happens when a spiritually disciplined person successfully becomes a channel for the Christ.

» I find it hard to concentrate on one topic and read everything about it. I think I'll miss something else and lose focus. In your learning do you concentrate on one aspect learn all you can about it then move on to another one? or jump around topic to topic whatever you're led to pursue?

I usually go where my enthusiasm leads me. So if something is really fascinating, I'll read up on it for a while. When I run out of steam, I go onto something else, and usually within a period of time I'll stumble on something that takes the old thing to a new level and then I return to it. If you read up on something and it feels like you're going through the motions, trying to squeeze water from a stone, then it's time to put it aside for now and pick it up again later. That is, unless it's important that you research something to the end, like when I'm writing an article and have to do some research for it. But just for fun and learning, yeah I just do what fascinates me. When I hit a block, I kind of summarize in my mind what I learned so far, pose and ponder some questions, try to answer them, and if my mind draws a blank then I leave it alone and often the right piece of the puzzle falls into my lap after a while to answer those questions.

» I'm going to be direct - and maybe I'm off the mark - but surely you are aware of a drug called "METHAMPHETAMINE" ... what's really wierd - is there is not one reference to this drug anywhere on your website - with all your insight into the pure negativity and all the players, tools and techniques of the darkside - I wonder how you could have overlooked that one (by design) - being one of the most evil and insidious influences on our planet?

Meth is bad stuff alright, just too obvious and avoidable a problem. You don't have to believe in conspiracy theories to know what meth is about, how destructive an influence it is. It is not a hidden danger.

As for the conspiracy behind meth, it targets and destroys the most vulnerable and expendable people, the matrix equivalent of a meat plant that takes in the cheapest "downer cows" and grinds them up into taco bell meat. Its effects are devastating on the person, but in context of the whole it's a low-level tool targeting low-level targets. The matrix goes after higher level targets in more sophisticated and stealthy ways, and that's what I deal with on my site because those conspiracies are not well known.

My readers are more likely to fool around with salvia, pot, mushrooms, and ayahuasca than meth. Therefore I would be better off writing about the downsides of pot for instance (which I did in my research notes about [Ether Body and FRV](#)).

If there is one conspiracy behind meth worth mentioning, and this I will include in future revisions of my site, is that if abused beyond a certain threshold, it can desoul a person and turn them into fully controllable puppets of hyper-dimensional or demonic forces. They'd only be used for petty harassment though, like gang stalking, because they aren't in shape enough for more complicated purposes. The same can be said of other mind-frying drugs. Too much acid has a similar but lesser effect of fragmenting the mind and allowing something dark to take up what was displaced.

» What's your thoughts on orgonite as a deterrent against targeted and general ambient EMF waves?

I have experience with orgonite, but also with the orgonomy of Wilhelm Reich and Trevor Constable. The best I can tell is that orgonite emits an ambient orgone field that freshens the energies of a room. That much I can confirm.

But beyond that I think there is lots of wishful thinking, subjectivity, and paranoia in the orgonite community that has

deviated good-hearted truth seekers from a path of legitimate action and spiritual development into a quixotic battle against forces that gladly feed upon their paranoia.

I know from personal experience that orgonite devices cannot actually repel reptilians, neutralize cellphone towers, or even keep away negative astral entities. They don't actually block EMF waves or disable cell-towers. Even if they broke up some of the DOR (deadly orgone) that electrical devices generate, the EM fields of those devices alone are still untouched and harmful and in the case of cell-towers can still resonate your brain cavity and zap your mental coherence. So I think instead it is the talismanic / belief factor of orgonite that does the job. Perhaps the orgone field generated helps a little to amplify belief and intent, that's a possibility. But I'm not in support of gifting operations and the whole "atlantean war games" attitude behind it. Rather I would have one in the room to use as I would use an air freshener, that's about it.

» From your writing it sounds like you may have encountered negative aliens directly. Have you encountered any positive that you know about?

Most of the positive encounters I have had with those would be in dreams. They are mentally powerful and meeting with you in dream-space would be more characteristic of them than, say, coming by in a ship to abduct you unwillingly. Another time on my birthday last year I got a mental nudge to ask for the positive aliens to show me a sign they were there, and I said I'd look at a spot in the night sky and they can fly by and shine a light at me.. so I was in a good mood and looking up at that spot when within ten seconds a star appeared and traveled in a measured way into the middle of it, flared up in brightness as though directing a spotlight at me, and then continuing on for another five seconds before I looked away in amazement and then couldn't see it when I looked back. It looked to be very high up, as high as a satellite.

The positive aliens are very discrete, non-interventionist, yet at the same time omnipresent. Whereas the negative ones are pushy, showy, manipulative, grandiose, and have a swoop-in-and-grab attitude. When negative pretend to be positive, it's always to give some phoney message or get you to do something against your better judgment, trying to extort a choice from you, whereas positive aliens give suggestions and appeal to your inner understanding but don't try to twist your arm with lies and stage illusions.

» I have a few questions on how you (the personal you, or rhetorical 'you') use reality creation or at least how it works. I understand that expectation can have a freezing effect on desired outcomes and probable futures. What I am still trying to figure out (both objectively and also in terms of me) is how plans work out.

I plan enough to cover the bases and use reality creation to take care of the things I cannot plan ahead of time. For instance, if I'm visiting my family, I plan ahead of time how much money to take, what to bring, and various safety items like oil change and having adequate tools and fluids on hand in case of a breakdown. But that's the extent of what I myself can do. The other half is up to reality, and so I intend very strongly that the trip go well, that I have a perfect and smooth ride from here all the way there, that I remain free of mechanical problems, cop encounters, accidents, delays, and so on. Because those things I cannot predict or prevent ahead of time, so that's where intention comes in.

When starting a new project or setting out on a trip, intending that all goes well (and feeling it inside, like a sigh of relief that your request has been granted) is pretty important. Otherwise it leaves too large of a "legal" loophole for Murphy's Law to manifest. At the very least, it dramatically cuts down on those things. The key is to be mentally aware of it and prepared, but with zero emotional response (no pangs of fear for instance). This way you block it with expectation but don't attract it with emotional resonance. That blocks it.

Intending that all goes well, that you have a good trip, and whatever goals you have, are non-specific enough that your being aware of those ideas only acts to select them in your mind, and once selected it is your emotional resonance that draws it in. Emotional resonance means that, what you feel as you make the intention, should be the same feeling you have after what you intend has come to pass. It creates a resonance between your present and that probable future, and thus creates an attractive link that draws it in.

Regarding antsy anticipation, think of it this way: if you were given absolute assurance that something will be here in

two days, then you would not really be anticipating it too much, you'd relax and do other things since it'll be there guaranteed. However, if there is risk involved and you doubt you might actually get it, then you'd be highly anticipative, constantly mentally checking up on it because of the uncertainty and doubt. Well, the emotional resonance there is uncertainty, doubt, disappointment. The feeling of grasping after something is really grasping for something that could slip away, to get it as quick as possible because you can't stand the thought of not having it. And so that's what you get. Not only is your constant awareness blocking that thing from happening, but the fearful desperation underlying anticipation emotionally resonance the future of disappointment. That's why antsy anticipation more often than not prevents a probable future from manifesting synchronistically.

When something is blocked synchronistically, only you through your own willpower and actions can make it happen by directly working for it, assuming it can be gotten that way.

To answer your question though, for attracting things I need, I use reality creation for "what" and "why" of what I need and try not to focus on the "when" and "how". So I'm focused on the end result only and do more energizing of it with my intent and emotion than I repel it with my thinking about in the first place.

For repelling bad things, I both try to emotionally resonate with a feeling of protection to attract that, and mentally survey the "what / when / how" of those bad things to block them with my awareness.

Also, the longer you have kept yourself in a state of balanced positivity and a connection to the Divine, to spiritual devotion and responsible action, the more "magnetic" you become to attracting positive futures. In fact, just by being more uplifted in your spirits for a prolonged time (takes about three days to get the flow going) you can have more positive things happen to you without your even having to intend for it. Like you'll be at the right place at the right time. I think that's the ideal and most ego-less way to be, because using reality creation to attract specific things is still using a crowbar to pry open a probable future that you decided you want which isn't necessarily what's always best for you. But if your heart feels it, then it's usually okay, and then just go for it.

» **Who are the main alien players in this scenario and how do they fit in?**

The ones that consistently pop up in both the real research and the disinfo, are your typical nordic types, greys, reptilians, and mantis-beings. Although the greys and nordics are more ubiquitous. There are also human military abductors (or hybrids in uniforms) but they work behind the scenes. The nordic types (which can also have dark hair) are positive or negative depending on the faction, and as you may have read elsewhere they can also be clones.

Negative nordics are the so-called Orion STS. If you ever watch Stargate SG1, they're symbolized in that series as the various human-looking Goa-Ulds and System Lords. Grays are tools for the most part that carry out the mission of whoever programmed them, so they're negative most of the time. I think there are some positive reptilians, but only a small minority. I haven't heard of any positive mantis beings except in disinfo literature trying to make them appear positive.

» **I just finished reading Fringe Knowledge and it was really an eye opener for sure! The one section that REALLY freaked me out is the Alien abduction one. I must admit that this keeps me from falling asleep!**

Yes, back in junior high when I started reading up on alien abductions, nights became a scary time for me. If I would wake up at 2AM, I would stay awake until 3AM because I read that abductions happen most frequently around 2:30AM. But even as a kid, I would leave the light and radio on when sleeping because subconsciously I knew that things were around or could pop into the room when my eyes were closed.

Right now, there are a couple things you can do aside from leaving some lights on. One is requesting to higher positive forces that you be protected as you sleep, and visualize/feel a force field surrounding you and your room or house/apartment, then go to sleep with a feeling of safety that all is well. This really does help keep things out. Another is get a voice-activated recorder (tape or digital) and let it run at night. Not only does it act as a deterrent, but if something

does happen (physically) you'll catch it the next day.

» I take issue with your notion that some beings don't have souls. Intuitively I'm convinced that all life has consciousness and is sacred, even the lives of those who wish to oppress us.

It may be a matter of semantics, like it is possible to say that a rock has a soul because underneath the illusion of physicality is consciousness upholding the essence of its mineral existence, conscious energy vibrating as frozen light. Or it could be narrowed down a little to say that all life, as in plants, bacteria, insects, and fungi upwards, have souls since they are more than just machines and thus endowed with something nonphysical.

In the case of my article, I narrowed it down even more to define soul (or more properly, spirit) as a core of self-awareness that has freewill, higher spiritual aspirations, empathy, that remains intact from lifetime to lifetime and is endowed with individualized destiny and karma, and that gives a person a spark of divinity allowing them to learn life lessons and thus progress quickly. It's like conscious energy that has become its own stable vortex growing and growing, rather than just a wind that rises and disperses.

Because it's the only way I can explain what I have observed of certain people who are strangely two dimensional and lacking all the qualities that I assume comes with having a soul/spirit. They still have a type of awareness, conscious energy, and are all still part of the unified Creation, but I sense there is something simplistic, hollow, embryonic behind their eyes which I interpret to be that conscious energy not having become a full self-sustaining individual soul yet, but more just a loose bunching of energies.

Normally they are benign, just background characters keeping the world running. When one is activated into baiting you, however, they can become a mirror of you without their own individuality, a kind of "too good to be true" phenomenon where it looks good superficially but it's more like pretty paint just the way you like it over a rotten interior. It's like they're going through the motions of what you're into, even the esoteric stuff, but it's more an act for show and you can sense that for them it's more about the identity and appearance than an equal enthusiasm and thirst for knowledge like with you. Besides, there are lots of other red flags accompanying them, like an overall big time/energy drain, empty promises, and the introduction of disinformation and odd snappiness when you don't fall for it. They can pretend to understand esoteric ideas but it's glib repetition of what they picked up and read or seen or heard from others, and when it's mentioned it tends to have a twist or an ulterior motive. Ultimately you have to psychically step into their heads and see if you sense a real independent mind, or this dull mirage-like hollowness.

It's just a hypothesis though, and if I come across an idea that explains all of it better, I would change my views.

» I wonder if the negative energy farm is necessary to our spiritual growth in the physical realm. Without it would our souls be challenged to grow and seek truth?

I believe there are modes of soul growth that can take place without the challenge of darkness, energy feeding, and the kinds of risks we face here in this world. But you have to wonder why people go into high risk professions, go skydiving, watch distressing movies, play heart-pounding video games ... when they could just stay comfortable doing nicer things. Obviously they get some challenge, glory, excitement, and fulfillment over these things. So I would imagine that souls in a nicer environment can follow their path up to a point before some feel a desire to test themselves and grow in a more challenging situation.

That said, it's in the process of conquering these challenges that the growth occurs, so in a way they exist to be conquered. Therefore if someone tries to reason that darkness is necessary and therefore we should just let it be and not challenge it, that's a logical fallacy. Darkness will always exist so long as freewill exists, and our job then is to choose and act on the the alternative in the face of it all, using the shadow to define the light.

» If all of mankind were enlightened what would be the spiritual benefit of existing in the physical realm?

Well, based on what I've seen and read, such societies first of all reach a more transcended state of existence where physicality is also overcome, but generally speaking there are plenty more lessons and challenges to handle. One type is the challenge of group cooperation and growth. Another is perfecting the self beyond mere intellectual enlightenment. And another is now working as a civilization to help other civilizations out who are still in an imbalanced and precarious situations. As you know, this could include incarnating into those civilizations and hoping you have the mettle and soul strength to make a difference in their world. That's what I think is the role of some people here on earth right now.

But I'm pretty sure that once a level of the game has been won, it's on to the next level. So if the physical realm no longer serves the needs of those in it, they will move onto something a bit more complex, like going from tic tac toe to checkers and then chess.

» If teachers and truthseekers can choose to reincarnate and potentially awaken mankind to its full positive potential, does that mean that Universal Consciousness is making a choice to unify its infinite variety of finite fragments back into one singular consciousness?

It is the fragment of that consciousness within those people that, by its very nature, is stirred into loving and helping others become more aware and free. We have dual natures within us, two faces of Creation, one that rejects the pure core within and seeks control at the expense of others, and one that acts from its pure core and seeks freedom for the benefit of all. So the choice happens on an individual scale to help uplift others and oneself to manifest the Creator more.

I also get the impression that the journey matters more than the destination, and that the infinite fragments winding their way back to unity have more the function of experiencing a unique path and thus having infinity understand its infiniteness in an infinite variety of ways, rather than separation being something terrible that must be run away from, toward unity, as quick as possible.

» I feel, in my soul, that mankind is going to be faced with something very soon. I feel that it will be potentially devastating to those who are not awake.

Yes, fortunately and unfortunately that is so. I foresee an accelerating cascade of changes. It'll be like a sinking ship. People will have to choose between holding onto their valuable furniture on the ship and drowning (the old ways), or letting go and acclimatizing to the new realities and ideas. I foresee synchronistic conditions changing so that those who don't adjust will meet with mounting resistance and misfortunes. It's known that people with emotional suppression, anger issues, etc... often get cancer because of it, because they are not letting go and adjusting, and something similar may happen if there is a collective environmental change of conditions that requires letting go and adjusting. Accompanying this we have social and political upheaval, increased weather disasters and volcanic/seismic activities, energy and food crunches, the imminent contact with mankind by alien forces, and more... wild ride!

» Do you think that the Matrix series was created and released with divine intervention? What are your thoughts on the movie?

The Wachowski duo may have been front-men for a script that was either written, or at least outlined, by some secret society they somehow got involved with. Their biographies are very short and seem fabricated. Why are there no neighbors, classmates, or relatives giving interviews on their memories of these guys?

The reason this movie was released, I think, is because both sides light and dark get something out of it. The dark gets a good programming tool for teaching an us vs them mentality, that people in the matrix are expendable, that you are elite, that violence is cool. The light gets a good metaphorical tool for exposing the hidden slavery behind what we perceive as reality, and the transcendence needed to break out of it.

There is a lot of truth in there, spiritual, historical, hyper-dimensional. For instance, when it mentioned that the Matrix was reset five or six times already, that is true to reality with mankind having been wiped out five or six times already, or perhaps the timeloop being reset that many times and we're now on the sixth or seventh iteration of that loop. One of

those two possibilities. The doorway being open for 314 seconds, well 3.14 is Pi which represents a circle, and seconds represents time, thus timeloop. Outside in the hallway of the Oracle's apartment there is Pi graffiti'd on the wall with a red circle and slash through it, probably indicating no circle, or breaking the loop.

Also the idea of machines burrowing ever deeper toward Zion until they break through and all hell breaks loose. That may symbolize negative forces getting ever closer to being able to manifest physically and starting up an overt war, where so far they've had to be very delicate operating from behind the dimensional veil.

And with Agent Smith multiplying and taking everyone over, that seems to be happening a lot with people succumbing to spiritual death and being like puppets for a shadowy intelligence working through them when needed.

And in the end, everything becoming apocalypse but then getting rewound *deus ex tempus* and everything fixed so that there's a happy ending, a common theme you'll see in other movies like Dark City, Ice Pirates, Galaxy Quest, one of the Harry Potter films, which relates to how all this is going to turn out in the world... how things can get really really bad, or maybe already has, and whoever wins in the end will not only win it for the future but also be able to alter the past accordingly. So whatever we go through now may get rewritten for the better if we win, or maybe we are living now the past of that victory... just a guess. That's all hyper-dimensional stuff.

» My philosophical undertakings have brought me nothing but pain. I feel the more I understand the more I'm disconnected from humanity.

That is another problem, or you could say rite of initiation, that truthseekers go through ... becoming ever more separated from sleeper people. It's an age old issue that students of esoteric mysteries also had to deal with. They dealt with it by creating a split in themselves between how they acted to the normal world, and who they really were to themselves, on their own time, and with others of their kind. It's okay to act enough to get by, like social camouflage, up to a point where others demand you devote too much time and energy to their shared mundane pursuits and false dreams where you just have to decline.

There are others like you out there, and if synchronicity doesn't help you find some in person, then on the internet you'd have to do with website and especially forums/message boards where you'll gravitate to others who are on your wavelength, and then it can progress to instant messaging or skype and eventually hanging out in person if travel allows it.

But it's also very important to begin building an internal source of interest and strength, where you could theoretically stand on your own, solitary but not lonesome. For me this is staying fascinated with learning about things, figuring out mysteries, doing what still gives me a feeling of enthusiasm and interest. Maybe self-improvement, exercise, art, music, whatever... because there's nothing worse than having time on your hands and using it to fret about something you cannot currently change. So during those lulls, do what fulfills you in a healthy way, and who knows it might actually lead to where you're to go next.

» The whole alien issue is just like with people, one has to interact and through experience one comes to know people as well as ETs. Judge a tree by its fruit I like to say.

I understand, and I have interacted with positive ETs as well although they tend to be more discreet so as not to cross the line of freewill violation. The problem is that they are so much more genuine, wise, spiritual, and lofty compared to the types of positive aliens often portrayed in alien, channeling, and exopolitical literature. Even worse is that I know for fact there are negatives pretending to be friendly just to gain rapport, stroke egos, and lull people into a false sense of security and trust.

So if you focus on the friendlies without knowing how to distinguish between them and the impostors, you would be like a person trusting anyone who acts friendly including the charming con-artist. What I'm saying is that you don't have to focus on the negative in order to be suckered by it. The opposite actually, if you are unaware of a deception you are wide open to it.

Indeed it's just like with people... there are those who are easy to spot as psychopaths, and others who are very slick and tell you everything you want to hear without compromising their true goal. Two flavors of evil, one bitter and one sweet.

It is naive to think only of friendly peaceful positives versus negative paranoid human Cabal. That dynamic exists, but there's also negative aliens working with the negative human Cabal against the true positive ETs, and they do it pushing a false positive alternative, namely what you read concerning Grays being here to genetically advance our species and bring us into the next phase of global evolution, and other lies. There is so much disinformation "backing up" this deception that no wonder most people fall for it.

I therefore call for a higher level of awareness, discernment, and sophistication in dealing with this subject. There really is no reason why the possibility of negative ETs pretending to be positive should go unconsidered, and why the question of how to distinguish them from the genuine positive ETs should not be asked.

» Now about which ones predominate. The way I see it has to be that friendlies that predominate because if unfriendly ones did it would be all over. I think it is simple as that!

Well, that's along the lines of "Any civilization advanced enough to cross the galaxy must be advanced enough to have eradicated all its negative tendencies, thus aliens can only be good" — which again underestimates the sophistication and cunning of commercial forces looking to exploit new areas. I mean, the Spaniards crossed the mighty Atlantic in ships advanced for their time, but only because the investment was ultimately worth the returns in slavery and precious metals.

Concerning your point, I agree that if the negatives were truly dominant and could therefore do anything they wanted, it would be over. But it's also more complicated. First complication is that positive ETs are very patient, wise, and respectful of our freewill. They will allow our planet to be deceived and enslaved if we choose it, because it will be our choice

Furthermore, that the positives are greater in number does not mean that the aliens already in contact with our governments, with abductees, channelers, are therefore in the majority positive. Reason is that the discretion of positive ETs holds them back, quiet and silent except to those who truly need and have earned their contact. Meanwhile, negative ETs are very eager to sink their hooks into anyone and everyone who unwisely opens that door.

Therefore the great majority of channeling, abduction, and government witness testimonies involve contact with negative ETs often pretending to be the good guys. And it's these same impostor ETs that are now, thanks to the opinion leaders in exopolitics, being portrayed as our necessary guides to the new millennium. So it is more complex, and I can only hope that enough will shift from the simpler to the more complex understanding in order to avoid falling for the most successful deception of all time — evil under the guise of good.

» All I am asking you to consider is that their may be a contradiction in your thinking. If the unethical are manipulative then they are affecting perception and could and are making themselves out to be stronger than they are. If they were strong they would not have to be covert, right? If they were strong they could just move right in and take us over. So what is holding them back?

Well, if you read the First Earth Battalion manual by Jim Channon you see the kind of thinking and strategy that these negative ETs seem to employ. They probably believe in what they are doing, that they are doing what is best for themselves and for us. And they believe in non-destructive conquest. That kind of conquest requires presenting themselves to us as the good, logical, and necessary choice, so that we hand ourselves over willingly. From the viewpoint of dark occultism, there is no better way of conquering your victim than having them invite you in willingly, as with freewill being preserved it contractually allows you to carry out things that previously were barred by divine intervention. So even if they were so strong that they could just land by the millions and enslave us by raygun-point, the kind of conquest they are after is much more sophisticated with a bigger metaphysical, hyper-dimensional, occult payoff. Non-destructive control is the higher way.

But at the same time, I agree that the negative ETs are smaller in number than the positive ones. That is indeed why they have to be so covert and cunning. Though to reiterate the point from my last email, since they are more ubiquitous than the positive ones, they are what the mainstream alien/abduction researcher knows and think of as “aliens.” In other words, that the positive ETs are greater in number doesn’t mean the majority of what the public perceives as “aliens” are positive. And so when exopolitics people talk about the importance of opening diplomatic channels with “aliens” – it is the negative ones that many of them are inviting in since they don’t know about negative ETs pretending to be positive, and don’t know how prudent and restrained the genuine positive ETs tend to be. The positive ones are too discreet and beyond our monkey-politics to sign treaties, do trading of technologies, or appear on Times magazine cover shaking hands with the President. Whoever ends up playing that role will earn my suspicion.

I think the best way people could handle this situation is to do some deep soul-searching and study of metaphysics and spirituality to know what matters and what is never worth giving up. They can learn the deceptive tactics used by negative ETs, and most of all they can learn what the positive ETs are really like and what it takes to become spiritually initiated enough to establish mutual contact with them on a personal and group level.

» **Do you believe the stuff you're writing, or is this satire/immersive sci-fi? i really want to know.**

No, it is not satire of sci-fi, although it seems that way to some people who haven’t had the anomalous experiences or investigated anomalous phenomena. It’s this layer of reality that many cannot relate to, but for others it’s everyday life. Whether I believe all of it – well, I consider it all a theory to explain what is otherwise unexplainable, and so if I find out that I’m wrong or come across a better explanation, then I’ll trash my old belief and try out the new one.

Anyway, the things on my site are best taken as a suggested interpretation, and if you read it once, then maybe down the line when something odd dawns on you about yourself, others, reality, paranormal phenomena, etc... you’ll remember what you read and make a connection much faster as to what it could be than had you never read it.

Also keep in mind that different types of people get attracted to the fringe subject – those who do it for entertainment, those who try to build up their identity with it, those who use it to make a buck or rip people off, those who are just plain nuts, and finally those who are more sane and logical than “normal” people and pursue truth into uncharted territories. It’s easy lumping them all in the same category, but really what I write on my site is the product of hard observation, investigation, testing, and research. But – like i said on my front page, take only what makes sense and applies to your life so far, and leave the rest for another time.

» **How can you write such enlightened information while at the same time being interested in heavy metal and negative forms of music with negative lyrics???**

You asked about my interest in music. The answer is that metal is fundamentally about power and the archetypal basis of my purpose here is divine warriorship or knighthood. So the idea of divine power and spiritual battle permeates my soul. You may have noticed that aside from the spiritually uplifting material, I also write about the tactics used by dark forces and how to win against their attempted manipulation and suppression.

I deal more with higher positive forces, higher negative forces, higher spiritual principles, and so on. Thus my main interest is in the positives and negatives of what is beyond the mundane human sphere. And the metal I enjoy often juxtaposes these two, the divine beauty element with the opposite, to capture a very broad spectrum of dynamics and themes that transcend the mundane themes of pop music. I’m mainly talking about epic doom, melodic doom, and symphonic metal. See the “random favorites” playlist on my [about the author](#) page for examples.

The only other kind of music that gets transcendental might be certain forms of classical, and thus I like classical also, mainly the baroque fugues and sacred polyphonic works like those of Palestrina and Monteverdi. It is all complex music that explores the extremes of human talent and potential. It matches my attitude to this whole life as being one of heaviness, seriousness, duty-bound, on-a-mission, sorrow for the suffering of others, and so on. Thus I resonate with heavy, serious, powerful music.

Now, regarding the negative lyrics, that I agree with you. I really wish some of these bands would be more positive in their lyrical themes. For some reason the bands that do have more positive lyrics tend to have weaker music. There are exceptions. I consider music way more important than lyrics, however, so some things I allow since there are no perfect bands out there. But I also write / compose music, and the upcoming songs I am making will be metal but with positive lyrics. Maybe my taste will change over time, since I'm still in my 20s.

» I've been asking myself what is true about upcoming roles as we shift into a new "illusion" that would cause me to stifle myself? How do we remember who we are?

I am facing the same challenge of "remembering who I am." And I don't know whether this entails truly regaining my memories of my mission and identity prior to losing consciousness of this upon incarnating, or whether it means identifying the core within me that is the source of my intuition, wisdom, compassion, and discernment? If the latter, then that is doable with discipline ... you just identify what is ego, what is selfless spirit, and focus on living, thinking, feeling, and acting from your spirit. The hard part then is distinguishing between the real spirit, and ego dressing up in spiritual clothing.

There is something I call "entering into confluence with the heart" which is where you carry out a truly heart-based thought, feeling, action, words, etc... in a single-minded way where you lose awareness of your personal self and are wholly absorbed in freely expressing forth this love. If in the middle you become aware of what you're doing and go "gee look I'm being so loving right now" then that is ego hijacking the process. But if you can act purely without self-consciousness from a higher place within, getting into the flow, then you are in a way living as your REAL self. Does that count as remembering who you are? Again, I'm not totally sure. At least it's a step in the right direction.

Now, there is another way of remembering, which I haven't yet succeeded in accomplishing but I've read enough from different great sources to know this is the way. And that is to meditate — not just sitting there blanking your mind, but lying down and letting go of your body, entering into a lucid trance/dream state where your consciousness is free of the physical brain and body. And from there, you can plumb the depths of your subconscious and discover clues that are otherwise barred to your regular linear waking consciousness. The state is called "mind awake body asleep" — all it requires is a shift of the etheric body out of the physical, or turning deep within and entering into a new "inner" world. I strongly think that if you can do this, explore it, sooner or later you will retrieve your true identity. Living from the heart may vibrationally raise and energize you enough that, should you operate outside your physical body, you will then have the energy and frequency necessary to unlock those hidden memories and realizations.

Also, only when you remember who are you are in the literal sense, will you have the certainty and conviction you need to carry out your mission. So that's what I know about it so far, and am trying... As far as resources go, "How to Know Higher Worlds" by Rudolf Steiner, and "Cry of the Eagle" (second book by Theun Mares) are good resources on this.

[End of email Q&A for 2008]